# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS **COMMISSION**

RADIO STATE

GREATER WASHINGTON EDUCATION File No.: BPED-930617MD TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION, INC. Channel 219B Leonardtown, Maryland For Construction Permit for a New Noncommercial Educational FM Station COLUMBIA UNION COLLEGE

BROADCASTING, INC. Channel 220B Takoma Park, Maryland For Modification of

In Re Applications of:

Facilities of Station WGTS-FM ) Takoma Park, Maryland

File No.: BPED-930723MB

MM DOCKET No.:

Volume: 1

Pages:

1 through 12

Place:

Washington, D.C.

Date:

April 15, 1997

## HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, NW, Suite 600 Washington, D.C. (202) 628-4888

APR 28 '97 ED

#### Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION FOR Washington, D.C. 20554

In Re Applications of:

MM DOCKET No.:

GREATER WASHINGTON EDUCATION

TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION, INC.

Channel 219B

Leonardtown, Maryland

For Construction Permit for a New Noncommercial Educational FM Station

COLUMBIA UNION COLLEGE BROADCASTING, INC. Channel 220B

Takoma Park, Maryland

For Modification of Facilities of Station WGTS-FM ) Takoma Park, Maryland

File No.: BPED-930617MD

File No.: BPED-930723MB

Courtroom 2 Suite 201 FCC Building 2000 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C.

Tuesday, April 15, 1997

The parties met, pursuant to the notice of the Judge, at 9:00 a.m.

> BEFORE: HON. RICHARD L. SIPPEL Administrative Law Judge

#### APPEARANCES:

# On behalf of Greater Washington Education Telecommunications Association, Inc.:

TODD D. GRAY, ESQ.
MARGARET L. MILLER, ESQ.
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20036-6802
(202) 766-2571

# On Behalf of Columbia Union College Broadcasting, Inc.:

BRUCE A. EISEN, ESQ.
Kaye, Scholer, Fierman, Hays & Handler
901 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 682-3538

### On behalf of the Commission:

JACQUELINE E. ELLINGTON, ESQ.
JAMES SHOOK, ESQ.
Federal Communications Commission
Mass Media Bureau
Enforcement Division
2025 M Street, N.W.
Suite 8210
Washington, D.C. 20554
(202) 418-1430

## $\underline{I}$ $\underline{N}$ $\underline{D}$ $\underline{E}$ $\underline{X}$

WITNESS: PAGE

None.

Hearing Began: 9:00 a.m. Hearing Ended: 9:21 p.m.

1	Ρ	R	0	С	E	Ε	D	Ι	Ν	G	S

- 2 JUDGE SIPPEL: This our first prehearing
- 3 conference, so I'm going to ask counsel to please identify
- 4 themselves in the docket order. Please, starting with
- 5 Greater Washington Education.
- 6 MR. GRAY: Your Honor, good morning. I'm Todd
- 7 Gray. With me is Margaret Miller. And also observing is
- 8 Tom Livingston, from WETA.
- 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Good morning.
- 10 And on behalf of Columbia Union?
- MR. EISEN: Bruce Eisen; Kaye, Scholer, Fierman,
- 12 Hays & Handler, on behalf of Columbia Union College
- 13 Broadcasting, Inc.
  - 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have a notice of appearance
  - 15 in this case?
  - MR. EISEN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. It was filed on
  - the tenth, and you were served with a copy of it.
  - JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay, well, my legal tech is out
  - 19 sick today and --
  - MR. EISEN: Ah.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: -- and it may not have gotten into
- 22 my work files. That's all right.
- VOICE: It just came in the mail.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I'll take your representation on
- 25 it. That's all we need to do. And with respect to the

- MS. ELLINGTON: Jacqueline Ellington, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay.
- 4 MR. SHOOK: And James Shook.
- 5 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Good morning.
- 6 MR. SHOOK: Good morning.
- 7 MS. ELLINGTON: Good morning.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: The notices of appearance are no
- 9 longer filed by the Bureau, is that right? According to the
- order -- the order doesn't specify the Bureau as a party
- 11 that would file a notice of appearance.
- MR. SHOOK: We never have, Your Honor. We just
- 13 show up.
  - 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: Okay. Glad to have you.
  - MR. SHOOK: Thank you.
  - 16 JUDGE SIPPEL: The joint hearing report was
  - 17 submitted yesterday, and it's been very helpful. It looks
  - 18 like, if everything gets done in accordance with that
  - 19 schedule, that this hearing should be pretty smooth sailing.
  - 20 I didn't notice anything that was of particular concern
  - 21 there.
- I would ask that there be some diligence with
- 23 respect to identifying -- or, at least agreeing, rather, on
- the common engineer, so that that person can get immediately
- working on the, you know, on the project. And, of course,

- the Bureau's technical staff, particularly, should be
- 2 involved with that as much as possible and as early as
- 3 possible.
- It seems to me that, from the report, that if the
- 5 case is going to be resolved, the most likely way it would
- 6 be resolved would be a technical solution, as opposed to a
- 7 share time.
- 8 Does anybody want to comment on that? I mean, I'm
- 9 talking about for scheduling purposes particularly.
- 10 MR. GRAY: I -- I guess I would say that I agree
- 11 with your -- with your impressions. We have discussed, at
- this point, at least one name of a -- for a common engineer.
- 13 And, ah, we will be needing to -- to discuss that a little
- 14 further. But, as I indicated in the report, I -- we are
- 15 confident that we will be able to agree on someone soon and
- 16 get that person moving.
- 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Well, I think what I'm
- 18 going to do is, I had set -- I'm sorry, Mr. Shook, did you
- 19 have something?
- 20 MR. SHOOK: Yes. Generally, Your Honor, we like a
- 21 -- about a three-week period between, ah, say, a preliminary
- exchange and then the final exchange, so that our engineers
- 23 have adequate time to review the exhibit.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well --
- MR. SHOOK: Whatever -- whatever period you set,

- try to factor in roughly three weeks for that.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I've got a -- procedural
- dates have already been set in the prehearing conference
- 4 order. And I've got a date of June 23.
- 5 MR. EISEN: Yes. Are -- are those dates actually
- 6 the, ah, final word, or we can request in any way?
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, there's -- there would be
- 8 some -- if reasons are given, there would be some
- 9 adjustments. I mean, people have to schedule their lives.
- 10 And, you know, I'd like to hear something about that right
- 11 away, if you have it.
- MR. SHOOK: I see what you have here, Your Honor,
- and that's more than adequate.
- 14 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. But, well, my comment
- 15 was with respect -- even when they first get the -- when
- 16 they get the common engineer on board, that it would make
- 17 sense that there be some preliminary contacts with your
- 18 technical staff so that they know where they're -- just so
- 19 they know where they're going.
- 20 MR. EISEN: Yeah, and I would say, Your Honor, I
- 21 don't think that's going to be a problem at all. We will,
- 22 ah, identify a common engineer, I'm confident, very shortly.
- 23 And, of course, there'll be interaction with the Bureau's
- 24 staff, and I'm sure within the time frame that Mr. Shook
- 25 has, ah, just noticed.

- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's fine. Then, I will
- 2 have nothing to worry about. That's what -- I've very happy
- 3 to hear it that way.
- 4 Then, I will stay, unless, you know -- I would ask
- 5 you to -- well, let me -- let me set a date. Let me set a
- date. May 15 is the date that was in the status report as
- 7 to when documents could be exchanged. Am I correct? I read
- 8 that right, didn't I?
- 9 MR. GRAY: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Why don't we stay with that May 15
- 11 date and forget about the -- I had set a date of April 21
- for a -- to file a schedule with respect to document
- production. That, it seems to me, would not be a necessary
- 14 procedural step, since you've committed to the May 15 as an
- 15 exchange date.
- 16 And on that date, I'd also want -- what I want on
- 17 that date is a status report saying that the exchange has
- gone forward and that you do have a common engineer. It
- 19 doesn't have to be identified, but that you do have it.
- 20 And I will set May 15 as the date, the last date,
- 21 for any changes to the procedural dates. In other words,
- 22 any time between now and May 15, if for some reason, some
- 23 good reason, these dates -- it would be in the interest of a
- 24 party or several -- or, more than one party.
- 25 Let me say it another way. If you all can agree

- 1 to other dates that don't vary too much from this and, you
- 2 know, there's a reason for doing it, I'll change the dates.
- 3 But I want to set May 15 as being the cutoff on that,
- 4 unless, again, there's something, you know, extraordinary
- 5 that happens.
- 6 Does that clear it up and does that satisfy
- 7 anybody? Everybody? (No audible response.) Yes, everybody
- 8 seems to be in agreement on that.
- 9 I have Greater Washington's amendment, which was
- 10 required by the hearing designation order. I've received no
- 11 opposition to it.
- 12 Did the Bureau -- this is an amendment that was
- directed to be filed by the hearing designation order, so I
- 14 would expect that I would need to see anything on this.
- MR. SHOOK: We've looked at it, and we have no
- 16 problem with it.
- 17 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, then. Having -- hearing
- no objection, the petition for the amendment is granted.
- 19 The amendment is accepted. And I'll note that in an
- appropriate order shortly after the conference.
- 21 The only thing else that I have that I wanted to
- 22 address was the share time issue. And what I want to do
- is -- is state my concerns to the Bureau that if the case
- 24 cannot be resolved for some reason, on a technical solution,
- and there has to be, you know, a final litigated resolution

- with respect to the 307(b) issue, that time share issue is
- still in there. And I'm going to have to rule on it.
- I mean, in other words, there has to be -- there
- 4 has to be some -- or, it has to be addressed in some way, is
- 5 what I'm saying. And I would expect the Bureau to
- 6 participate in that as well.
- 7 The answer might be that 307(b), one of the
- 8 parties can convince -- has a convincing case on 307(b), so
- 9 that there's no need to get at the time share. But the
- issue isn't stated that way in the hearing designation
- order. In other words, it's not said -- it doesn't set it
- out that way, that, in the event you can't resolve the case
- under 307(b), you go to time share.
- As I'm reading the hearing designation order, both
- 15 remedies have to be viewed as whether which would be the
- appropriate, which is going to give the greatest public
- 17 interest relief in the case?
- 18 So, I want the Bureau to focus on that the way
- 19 that I'm focusing on it, so that it can be -- it can be
- appropriately handled when it comes time for proposed
- 21 findings, or however -- or, even if it's a settlement, so
- that we can dispose of it.
- 23 Any questions on that? None?
- MR. SHOOK: No, Your Honor.
- 25 JUDGE SIPPEL: Then, that's all that I have.

- 1 MR. EISEN: Would it be better to go off the
- 2 record, or would you rather have us file something with
- 3 regard to the procedural dates?
- 4 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well --
- 5 MR. EISEN: Because I -- I do have a couple of
- 6 difficulties with some of the dates. I apologize for that
- 7 I'm -- I'm late into the case.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, let's see what we can resolve
- 9 off the record. That's fine.
- 10 MR. EISEN: Okay.
- JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, I'll stay here with you for
- 12 a while on that.
- Let's go off the record for a minute.
  - 14 (Whereupon, at 9:15 a.m., the proceeding was
  - 15 briefly recessed.)
  - 16 (Whereupon, at 9:20 a.m., the proceeding was
  - 17 resumed.)
  - 18 JUDGE SIPPEL: In an off the record discussion,
  - 19 it's been tentatively agreed -- well, it's been agreed to
  - 20 consider two changes in the procedural dates. One, the
  - 21 exchange and receipt date would be moved from August 1 to
  - 22 August 5. And the commencement of the hearing would be
  - 23 moved from August 13 to August 26.
  - This is subject to verification of no conflict
  - 25 with counsel for -- on the part of Greater Washington's

```
And we should have this resolved by the end of the
 2
      week.
 3
                 All right? That's it, then. We are in recess.
 4
      Thank you very much.
 5
 6
                 ALL: Thank you.
 7
                 (Whereupon, at 9:21 a.m., the proceeding was
      concluded.)
 8
      11
 9
10
      //
11
      //
      //
12
13
      11
14
      //
15
      //
16
      //
17
      //
      //
18
      //
19
      //
20
21
      //
22
      //
23
      //
24
      //
25
      //
```

counsel. And I have to check my own calendar, too.

1

### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

FCC DOCKET NO.: 97-77

CASE TITLE:

Greater Washington Education

**HEARING DATE:** 

April 15, 1997

LOCATION:

Washington, D. C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

4/15/97

Official Reporter

Heritage Reporting Corporation

1220 "L" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Vercountess Grady

#### TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence were fully and accurately transcribed from the tapes and notes provided by the above named reporter in the above case before the Federal Communications Commission.

Date:

4.16.97

Official/Transcriber
Heritage Reporting Corporation

Gary A. Sabel

### PROOFREADER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the transcript of the proceedings and evidence in the above referenced case that was held before the Federal Communications Commission was proofread on the date specified below.

Date:

Official Proofreader

Heritage Reporting Corporation

Don R. Jennings